The effect of heat stress on rumen microbial composition T310 analyzed by sequence-specific rRNA cleavage method

Y Uyeno^{1,3}, Y Sekiguchi¹, K Tajima², A Takenaka², M Kurihara², and Y Kamagata¹

¹National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, ²National Institute of Livestock and Grassland Science, ³National Federation of Dairy Co-operative Associations

Introducti on EXPERIMENT-1 EXPERIMENT-2 Construction of the probe set for the rumen bacterial community composition in response to heat stress Rumen bacterial community overview Rumen microbial community Bac303 WHO? + - Carbohydrate digester Fibr225 Probe (Target group) Acetate-, Lactate- utilizer eolytic bacteria Eub338 (Bacteria) Strc493 HOW MANY? + 10%-1010 cells/ml (>1014 cells in the organ) Bac303 (Bacteroides / Prevotella) Butyrivibri Erec482 (C. coccoides - E. rectale group Frec482 Heat stress and the strain are the greatest • Cows tend to change nutrient requirements to Fibr225 (Fibrobacter) challenges to production facing dairy prevent the elevation of the body temperature Rfla1269 (R. flavefaciens) farmers. High ambient temperatures can during heat stress. Ruminal microbial community URB RC30 Rbro730 (R. bromii, C. sporosphaeroides) reduce the milk yields of lactating dairy may reflect adaptation to the alteration of the URB R dynamic characteristics of digestion cows, decrease reproducibility, and delay and URB-L probe Phasco741 (Phascolarctobacterium and relatives) heifer growth. maintenance of normal rumen function B 3C0d-14 URB 4C0d-14 Ralh196 (R. albus) URB 5C0d-URB H60N88 URB RF10 Snm1418 (Selenomonas and relatives) Aim of this study +++ -- To develop a 16S rRNA-based method to determine the URB-II probe Strc493 (Streptococcus/Lactococcus) bacterial community in ruminal ecosystems Cther432 (C. thermocellum subaroup) -- To characterize the changes in the ruminal bacterial Cther432 Atop291 (Atopobium) community of dairy cows under heat stress condition Rfla1269 Ralb196 Rbro730 **Osc808** (Oscillospira) URB 3C0d-1 LIRB-I (Uncultured rumen bacteria cluster-I) Sequence-specific rRNA cleavage method URB-III probe URB-11 (Uncultured rumen bacteria cluster-II) (Uyeno et al. [2004]) URB-III (Uncultured rumen bacteria cluster-III) □ Osc808 ospira guill (The proportion of total bacterial rRNA population RNase H could degrade the RNA at the Snm1418 site where DNA probe is hybridized with the RNA molecule of the target group. Phasco741 In a total RNA solution retrieved from Fibrobacter, Oscillospira, URB-I decreased as housing temperature increased. 16S rRNA complex microbial community, only the target RNA can be fragmented Non-target 16S rRNA Remain intact To fully describe the rumen bacterial community, we have developed a systematic probe set, based upon a comparative 16S rRNA sequencing we presented a novel RNA-based method for the quantitative detection of a specific group of approach to the rumen bacterial community. We consequently made up microorganisms in complex ecosystems. This method is easy to perform and only requires a a set of 15 probes. Newly designed probes are indicated in red short amount of tim Probe specificity verification Physiological changes observed: (Unpublished data Analytical Procedure For 5'- CCARTGTGGGGGGACCTT -3' (B) Flbr225 5'- AATCGGACGCAAGCTCATCCC -3 (A) Bac303 -- Lower hay intake and lower DMI S 3'- UUAGCCUGCGUUCGAGUAGGG -5 F. succinoge. Succ. ruminis determining bacterial population -- Lower ruminal pH -- Higher respiration rate and body temperature 3.0 hours to finish -- Lower DG Microbial in helfers at high ambient temperature. **Community Sample** RNA extraction Only a few volume of sample (2-3ml) is required. • As changes in diet can alter the ruminal community the hypothesis that fibrolytic bacterial activity (e.g., A standard method (e.g., beads-beating) can be applicable to the extraction of total RNA solution. Fibrobacter) decreases and saccharolytic bacterial \sqrt{For} each probe, a formamide concentration (indicated as * in the figure) activity (Streptococcus) increases in response to the was determined at which 16S rRNA of the non-target strain was not Extracted rise of the temperature is proposed. Quantification cleaved at all while 16S rRNA of the target strain was sufficiently cleaved data was consistent with this hypothesis **RNA Solution** Sequence-specific rRNA cleavage Hybridization solution +1 - A programmable thermal cycler can be applied for Conclusions boiling and incubating use. RNA denaturation (Boil 95°C, 1 min) -- For the correct digestion, denaturing reagent (e.g., formamide) could be added at a appropriate concen-Enzyme solution tration in the hybridization solution (P Result in Exposure to increased temperature can affect the composition of the ruminal microbial community Experiment-1). in accordance with the change in the feed intake. RNA Cleavage (Incubate 50°C, 15 min) After cleavage reaction, RNA are recovered by means of EtOH precipitation or other RNA collection protocols (e.g., micro-centrifuge spin-column). ⇒ The alteration of the ruminal microbial community possibly contributes to the adaptation to heat stress. Stop solution (EDTA) ⇒ Uncultured rumen bacteria may play a critical role in ruminal fermentation which can respond to temperature change Fragmented Quantification of RNA fragments This RNA-based quantitative approach allows for comprehensive description of ruminal bacterial community. RNA -- Fragmented 16S rRNA is readily distinguished from the intact one by a mean of electrophoresis. \Rightarrow This can be applied for tracking the dynamics of the community in relation to the rumen s physiology under specific conditions.

Contact Information

Yutaka Uyeno

Dairy Technology Research Institute The National Federation of Dairy Co-operative Associations (ZENRAKUREN)

Bunkyocho 5, Yabuki, Nishi-shirakawa, Fukushima, 969-0223 Japan

E-mail $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$ ueno_yutaka@zenrakuren.or.jp

Analyzing the changes of bacterial community

Bacterial populations of ruminal samples of heifers under heat stress condition

	RNA population (%)							Effect		
	1st Period (9 months)			2nd Period (15 months)			SEM	т	Р	ТхР
	20C	28C	33C	20C	28C	33C	JEIVI		F	1
	92.0	91.7	92.3	88.7	92.3	87.1	0.7			
	28.9	32.9	33.7	46.4	45.2	44.3	1.6		* *	
	6.4	7.2	9.6	9.5	12.8	12.7	0.6	* *	* *	
	4.4	1.5	2.1	6.5	2.7	1.2	0.5	* *		
	3.5	4.1	3.3	2.0	2.5	2.3	0.2		* *	
	0.8	1.8	1.2	0.6	1.3	1.0	0.1	* *		
	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.7	1.7	1.5	0.1			
	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.1			
	0.6	1.4	1.3	0.7	1.2	1.3	0.1			
	0.7	1.1	1.8	0.2	1.1	2.1	0.2	* *		
	0.7	1.1	1.2	0.7	1.2	1.3	0.1	*		
	3.3	3.1	4.0	2.4	4.0	3.4	0.2			
	10.8	5.9	5.2	1.2	1.8	2.0	0.7	**	* *	*
	2.3	2.2	1.7	2.2	2.0	1.2	0.1	*		
	1.2	2.0	1.8	1.3	1.6	1.9	0.1	*		
)	1.9	2.2	2.8	3.1	2.7	1.7	0.2			*
	68.1	69.0	72.0	78.9	82.6	78.5	1.4		**	
I)	(0.74)	(0.75)	(0.78)	(0.89)	(0.89)	(0.90)	(0.02)			

temperature: P. treatment period TxP, the interaction. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01.

✓ E. rectale - C. coccoides. Streptococcus. R. bromii. C. thermocellum. URB-II increased

Bacteroides, Oscillospira, R. flavefaciens, E. rectale - C. coccoides group differed between periods.

 $^{\prime}$ The total amount of 16S rRNAs of targeted groups accounted for 90% max of the total bacterial 16S rRNAs.

